



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,574	12/05/2003	Toshinori Yanagisawa	010755.52985US	7361

23911 7590 10/27/2006

CROWELL & MORING LLP  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP  
P.O. BOX 14300  
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300

EXAMINER

CHO, UN C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/727,574	Applicant(s) YANAGISAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner Un C. Cho	Art Unit 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al. (US 6,804,333 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Liu discloses a web access providing system comprising web connecting means for, in response to a call from a calling subscriber terminal to a called subscriber terminal, sending a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) to connect the call to a web page associated with said called subscriber terminal (Liu: Col. 1, line 59 through Col. 2, line 29) said URL being associated with the subscriber number including the telephone numbers and mail address pre-registered in said called subscriber terminal and being stored in said called subscriber terminal, whereby said system allows said calling subscriber terminal to access said web page (Liu: Col. 4, line 54 through Col. 5, line 10).

Regarding claim 2, Liu as applied above discloses authentication means for performing authentication of the subscriber number of said calling subscriber terminal in response to said call, wherein said web connecting means connects

Art Unit: 2617

said call to said web page associated with said called subscriber terminal depending on the result of said authentication by said authentication means (Liu: Col. 4, line 54 through Col. 5, line 10 whereas the user profile performs authentication of the subscriber number of said calling subscriber and provides access accordingly).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3 – 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Gallant (US 2002/0137490 A1).

Regarding claim 3, Liu as applied above does not specifically disclose wherein the authentication by said authentication means verifies that the subscriber number of said calling subscriber terminal is contained in a subscriber number list associated with said called subscriber terminal. In an analogous art, Gallant remedies the deficiencies of Liu by disclosing such limitation on Page 5, Paragraph 0062, line 1 through Paragraph 0065, line 9. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Gallant to the system of Liu in order to provide an

improved call screening service by maintaining user profile information to control the screening of inbound communications requests.

Regarding claim 4, Liu in view of Gallant as applied above discloses wherein said subscriber number list is a telephone directory stored in said called subscriber terminal (Gallant; Page 5, Paragraph 0062, line 1 through Paragraph 0065, line 9).

Regarding claim 5, Liu in view of Gallant as applied above discloses wherein said authentication is performed in said called subscriber terminal (Gallant: Page 5, Paragraph 0062, line 1 through Paragraph 0065, line 9).

5. Claims 6/1 and 6/2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Degraeve (US 2006/0079211 A1)

Regarding claim 6/1, Liu as applied above does not specifically disclose wherein said call from said calling subscriber terminal to said called subscriber terminal is access in multiple access which allows multiple separate communication channels to be provided separately from each other. In an analogous art, Degraeve remedies the deficiencies of Liu by disclosing such limitation on Page 2, Paragraph 0029, line 1 through Paragraph 0030, line 5 whereas a URL is sent through a SMS message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Degraeve to the system of Liu in order to provide an

efficient way of convergence between mobile telephone systems and the internet to transfer data, with the use of any kind of mobile phone.

Regarding claim 6/2, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 6/1.

6. Claims 6/3 and 6/4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Gallant as applied to claims 3 – 5 above, and further in view of Degraeve.

Regarding claim 6/3, Liu in view of Gallant as applied above does not specifically disclose wherein said call from said calling subscriber terminal to said called subscriber terminal is access in multiple access which allows multiple separate communication channels to be provided separately from each other. In an analogous art, Degraeve remedies the deficiencies of Liu by disclosing such limitation on Page 2, Paragraph 0029, line 1 through Paragraph 0030, line 5 whereas a URL is sent through a SMS message. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Degraeve to the system of Liu in order to provide an efficient way of convergence between mobile telephone systems and the internet to transfer data, with the use of any kind of mobile phone.

Regarding claim 6/4, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 6/3.

***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Un C. Cho whose telephone number is (571) 272-7919. The examiner can normally be reached on M ~ F 8:00AM to 4:30PM.


Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Un C Cho  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2617

*10/20/06 ue*

  
GEORGE ENG  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER